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1. Introduction

Close the Gap and Engender have been engaged in the development of the Scottish National Investment Bank for nearly three years. During that time we have worked with Scottish Government and MSPs to ensure the inclusion of important provisions which require the Bank to mainstream gender equality into all of its functions alongside accountability mechanisms to ensure the Bank prioritises equality in its lending and employment policies. We continue to believe that the establishment of the Bank holds enormous potential to tackle women’s economic inequality and that these duties have the potential to positively impact women’s experiences of working within the Bank, as well as Scotland’s gender pay gap and women’s wider social and economic inequality.

However, it is not clear from the Proposal to Set Missions for the Scottish National Investment Bank how Scottish Government and the Bank will deliver against these requirements. The consultation paper demonstrates a dearth of information pertaining to gender equality and both Ministers’ and the Bank’s equality obligations. Based on the proposal document and consultation questions, we are unclear as to how the missions will further women’s equality in Scotland nor how the need to address women’s inequality was integrated into design of the proposed missions.

2. The Scottish National Investment Bank and gender equality

The Scottish National Investment Bank Act 2020 contains a number of key provisions with regards to gender equality including:

- An ancillary object relating to equality and non-discrimination;
- The Bank is required to publish and maintain a gender equality strategy which must be reported on every two years;
The Bank must gather intersectional gender disaggregated data on its investments, programmes and services, and report on its progress against its gender equality strategy; and

• The Bank is required to carry out a regular equal pay review.

The mission setting process, as set out in the Act, also requires Ministers to consider how the missions will further the Bank’s ancillary objects, including the ancillary object on “advancing equality and non-discrimination”.¹ To set the missions, Ministers must, following a 40 day public consultation, send the Bank a document describing the challenges missions seek to address and set out “how, in the Ministers’ opinion, addressing those challenges relates to the Bank’s main object and ancillary objects as set out in its articles of association”, including that of advancing equality and non-discrimination. The proposal document offers no information on what that statement is likely to include, and how that statement will incorporate evidence as to how the missions will advance equality and non-discrimination. In order to meet the obligations as established in the Act, this statement will have to include a gender analysis of the proposed missions and use gender-sensitive data and information to demonstrate how the missions will promote gender equality.

This early integration of gender equality is critical as the decision-making process for determining missions has the potential to widen or narrow Scotland’s gender pay gap and therefore worsen or advance women’s equality. It is consequently vital that gender be considered at the earliest stage of development and that gender equality is mainstreamed in the missions.² This means that proposals should explicitly describe how they will address women and men’s different experiences of the mission in question but also the impact on equality between women and men of selecting a particular group of missions above other possible choices. For example, on the grand challenge relating to the climate emergency, it is important to recognise that women comprise a small proportion of the renewables workforce and that segregation in the modern apprenticeship programme and relevant university and college courses suggests that this will not change unless significant mitigating action is taken. On demographic change, it is important to understand the expected gendered implications of automation, and the pre-existing barriers that exist for women with regards to skills acquisition. More information on the gender implications of the grand challenges is detailed in section 4 of this response.

It is therefore concerning that the proposal published by the Scottish Government has afforded extremely limited focus to equality considerations. There is no mention of “women” or “gender equality” within the document so it is unclear how equality and non-discrimination has been considered nor how it will be set out in the document which ultimately sets the missions. Failure to mainstream gender equality into the missions will have long-term implications in terms of the outcomes of the Bank’s lending, and very likely exacerbate women’s socio-economic inequality. As this has not been included in the consultation document, there is a concerning lack of transparency regarding the content of this statement. In sum, we are not convinced based on the present consultation paper that the missions and the missions setting process has adequately considered equality.

3. General comments on Scottish National Investment Bank missions consultation

Close the Gap and Engender share the following overriding concerns about the proposal document:

- There is no evidence that the ancillary object relating to equality and non-discrimination has been considered throughout the mission-setting process, or the missions themselves.
- There are no references to “gender equality” or “women” within the proposal consultation, and there is no reference to the importance of tackling socio-economic inequality experienced by women in the context of the Bank’s non-financial returns.
- While the section on equality and wellbeing highlights the importance of pursuing “growth in a way which addresses inequality and increases wellbeing in an inclusive way”, it contains very few concrete commitments to furthering equality, pointing instead to the place-based mission.
- The section on equality and wellbeing also stipulates that investment will be measured with reference to the National Performance Framework (NPF) and the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UN-PRI). Close the Gap and Engender have previously highlighted that aligning the work of the SNIB to the NPF will be insufficient to realise gender equality as the NPF contains no metric which specifically relates to gender equality and only two of the 81 indicators in the NPF relate specifically to women.

4 These are the gender pay gap and the difference between women’s and men’s labour market participation rates (called ‘organisational gender balance’).
further gender equality. The consultation further notes that relevant NPF indicators will be selected to measure the Bank’s activity without clarity as to whether this will include the limited NPF indicators that relate to women. The selection of NPF indicators is integral if the missions are to align with the NPF in a way that advances the Bank’s obligations towards equality and non-discrimination.

- The frameworks set out for measuring the non-financial returns of the Bank, including the NPF, UN-PRI, and the SDGs, are not well-gendered and there is a need to adopt gender indicators as part of the measurement of non-financial returns. The section on measuring performance against the Bank’s missions also contains no reference to gender-sensitive sex-disaggregated data and the establishment of data gathering mechanisms.

- The proposal document states that “other impact assessments already undertaken throughout the public sector, such as those relating to equalities or island communities, are further expected to be used. These could be used at the point of decision making to assess the wide-ranging potential impacts of investments.” This implies that equality impact assessment (EqIA) is an optional aspect of policymaking, rather than a legal obligation and a vital methodology for the integration of equalities considerations. Furthermore, EqIA should be done at the earliest stage of policy development, rather than at the “point of decision making” only.

These concerns give rise to a number of key questions relating to mission development process. We believe these questions should be considered by the Scottish Government as part of its approach to developing the missions:

- How does Scottish Government believe that the proposed missions relate to the Bank’s main object and ancillary object relating to equality and non-discrimination as set out in its articles of association?
- How will the proposed missions enable the Bank to advance the ancillary object on equality and non-discrimination?
- How has equality and non-discrimination informed preparatory work on the Bank’s lending and investment criteria relating to the anticipated missions?
- What data gathering mechanisms have been established to enable the Bank to fulfil the obligations established in the Act relating to intersectional gender-disaggregated data on the Bank’s activities?
- What progress has been made towards the development of the Bank’s gender equality strategy, as required by the Act?

The mission document as outlined in the consultation document does not provide sufficient direction to the Bank with regards to equality. This means that a focus on
equality is likely to be lost in implementation and delivery, as evidence shows that unless equality is explicit, it is often omitted or ignored. Improving the visibility of gender equality considerations in the proposal, as highlighted in the section above, will help to ensure that equality is a key focus for the Bank as it operationalises these missions. At present, the lack of focus afforded to gender equality in the mission document could lead to the deprioritisation of equality by the Bank, leading to the missions being implemented in a way which cements, rather than challenges, gender inequality.

Based on all of these observations, Close the Gap and Engender are concerned that the intention to integrate the Bank’s ancillary objects, including equality, into the missions setting process has not been given sufficient weight. Given the importance of the statement that will accompany the missions setting document, we believe that more information should have been provided in this consultation phase so as to ensure meaningful comment before it is finalised.

4. Comments on the grand challenges and proposed missions

As noted above, when setting the missions, the Scottish Government must include a statement on how the missions will relate to the Bank’s objects as set out in the Act. In this section we set out some of the available evidence and analysis that should be integrated into the missions and the grand challenges in order to reflect the impact of women’s inequality.

There are clear gendered dynamics to the three proposed grand challenges, with the missions having potentially far-reaching implications for women’s lives and economic wellbeing. These considerations are not afforded sufficient focus within the proposal. Some of the key gaps with regards to gender equality are highlighted under each of the grand challenges below.

Grand challenge one: Climate Emergency

Climate change is also a gendered emergency. Women’s social and economic inequality, including greater likelihood of living in poverty, means that they are both more vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate risks and more likely to bear the negative impacts of measures introduced to respond. Globally, climate change has

5 Close the Gap and Engender (2020) Joint briefing: Proposed stage 2 amendments to the Scottish National Investment Bank Bill.
severe consequences for women’s reliance on natural resources, health and hunger and increases risks of displacement, violence and human trafficking. Women’s lesser access to resources and greater provision of care results in different capabilities to mitigate climate change at the individual level, including the cost of adaptive measures, limited time to invest in adaptive behaviours and health consequences, including physical and mental health, and for their capacity to respond to climate change and natural emergencies.

Climate change campaigners have highlighted the gendered nature of environmentally damaging activities, industries and responses. At the same time, gender equality measures and climate measures can be mutually reinforcing and contribute to a more equitable division of labour and expertise. However, women remain a largely untapped resource in responding to climate change because of existing biases, lack of resources, lesser access to training, technology and finance, and underrepresentation in decision-making.

In describing this challenge, the Scottish Government note the importance of reducing net emissions in a way which creates decent, fair and high-value employment opportunities. However, the illustrative examples for investment outlined in the proposal promotes investment in male-dominated sectors including transport, power generation and carbon neutral industry. It is important that, in implementing this grand challenge, the Bank does not invest in men’s jobs and male-dominated industries, at the expense of women’s jobs. This is particularly important in the context of the drive for ‘green jobs’, as highlighted in the Programme for Government. While there is no specific definition currently available, we are concerned that this is likely to prioritise investment in male-dominated sectors, especially as the sectors identified as targets for the Green Jobs Fund include energy, transport, construction, agriculture, and manufacturing which are characterised by acute and chronic occupational segregation. Increased focus and investment in

---

10 Claire Duncanson (2020) GUEST POST: A recovery plan which works for women also works for the planet. Available at: https://www.engender.org.uk/news/blog/guest-post-a-recovery-plan-which-works-for-women-also-works-for-the-planet---/.
male-dominated sectors will disbenefit women, worsen women’s unemployment, widen the gender pay gap, and risks exacerbating women’s poverty. These considerations and the importance of tackling occupational segregation in these sectors should be core to the balanced scorecard approach.

**Grand challenge two: Place-based Opportunity**

Regional and place-based models can exclude women and other protected groups. There is evidence that place-based programmes can redistribute spending away from women, Black and racialised groups, and disabled people.\(^{12}\) Mechanisms like the public sector equality duty (PSED) are not currently sufficient to ensure that local decision-making is gender-sensitive.\(^{13}\) For example, spending at local level that is not subject to a gender budget analysis may result in proportional overspending on community facilities typically used by men and boys such as football pitches over those more likely to be used by women and girls, such as hockey pitches. However, if gendered in design, placed-based initiatives could also have positive outcomes for particular groups of women. Lone parent families, nine in ten of which are headed by women, are significantly more likely to live in the most deprived SIMD areas in Scotland.\(^{14}\)

Place-based opportunity may also overlap with planning policy and local regeneration schemes. For instance, employment opportunities, especially those that are well-paid, tend to be distant from residential areas and the services and amenities that women need for caring and household management roles. This limits women’s access to the labour market and creates time poverty for those juggling paid and unpaid work. Women’s travel to work areas tend to be smaller than men’s as a result, and they are overrepresented in sectors of the economy with more geographically dispersed patterns of employment, such as retail and health and care,\(^{15}\) sectors which, particularly at local level, have been at the forefront of negative economic trends over the past few decades.

---


While women are often at the heart of community or regeneration initiatives to improve their built environments, gender equality concerns are all but absent from planning frameworks in Scotland.\(^\text{16}\) A coherent approach to improving places presents opportunities to improve women’s economic and social position through gendered investments in housing, local infrastructure and commercial activities and safe community spaces.

Despite commitments to inclusive growth, economic growth has not been regionally equitable. Earlier this year, Engender and Close the Gap published research which found inclusive growth as operationalised in Scotland has failed to deliver meaningful outcomes for women’s equality because it remains focused on paid employment as indicators of success over reproductive work.\(^\text{17}\) A focus on economic growth itself distorts investment away from sectors dominated by women such as health, hospitality and care, and ensures the maintenance of gendered economic outcomes. There does therefore appear to be ample opportunity for local and gendered approaches to be mutually beneficial.

In order to do so however, place-based approaches such as community wealth building need to pay better attention to women’s specific needs in order to meet them. The Bank’s approach to place-based opportunity must therefore include focus on patterns of growth, fully participatory systems of decision-making and gendered investment decisions and criteria.

**Grand challenge three: Demographic change**

This mission document highlights that this challenge relates to the potential impacts of automation and technological change on the workforce and future skills demand. The proposal notes that technological innovation has the potential to provide workers with new skills, but also highlights that these changes could “leave behind those who are unable to adapt”. The framing of the labour market impacts of automation in this way ignores the gendered implications of this technological change.

This mission has a clear gendered dynamic with women workers concentrated at the extreme ends of the automation spectrum. Women are over-represented in jobs that


are at the highest risk of automation, such as retail or secretarial roles, and under-represented in the sectors where job growth is likely as a result of automation, such as STEM.\textsuperscript{18} Occupations most at risk of automation for men have the lowest earnings, whereas there is considerable risk to ‘women’s jobs’ in better paid occupations, which has the potential to reverse gender equality gains. The ONS found that women account for 70.2\% of employees in jobs at high risk of automation, and only 42.6\% of employees in jobs at low risk of automation. Furthermore, part-time workers, of whom women comprise the majority, make up 69.9\% of all employees in jobs at high risk. This compares with only 11\% of jobs at low risk of automation being held by part-time positions.\textsuperscript{19} In addition, a number of barriers prevent women from reskilling and moving into higher paid jobs which are less susceptible to automation including less access to training overall; under-representation in STEM industries; and caring responsibilities coupled with inflexible workplaces. Low paid, part-time women workers are the least likely to receive any type of training,\textsuperscript{20} which is particularly concerning as forecasts predict that automation is more likely to disrupt low-paid, part-time jobs. The gendered implications of automation, AI and technological change should thus be a key consideration for the Bank in operationalising this mission. It should be clear how this mission relates to the equality and non-discrimination ancillary object.

The proposal also highlights the opportunity to invest in technology-enabled care as part of this mission. This could be framed as benefiting women as they do the majority of unpaid care in the home, however, women also comprise the majority of the paid carer workforce and so would potentially be disproportionately affected by the automation of tasks undertaken as part of their job.\textsuperscript{21}

At present, from the proposal, it is unclear how these gendered considerations have been incorporated into the framing of this mission and how the mitigation of any potential negative impacts on women will be considered as part of the balanced scorecard approach.

\textsuperscript{18} Close the Gap (2020) \textit{Response to the Scottish Government’s Consultation on developing Scotland’s AI Strategy.}
\textsuperscript{19} ONS (2019) ‘Which occupations are at high risk of being automated’. Available at: https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemployeetypes/articles/whichoccupationsareathigherstriskofbeingautomated/2019-03-25.
\textsuperscript{20} House of Commons Women and Equalities Committee (2016) \textit{Inquiry into the gender pay gap.}
\textsuperscript{21} Close the Gap (2019) \textit{Close the Gap response to the Economy, Energy and Fair Work Committee’s Call for evidence on the Scottish National Investment Bank Bill.}
5. Measuring Performance

The information on measuring performance does not provide sufficient detail on the gathering of intersectional gender-sensitive sex-disaggregated data, as required by the monitoring and evaluation criteria established in the Act. Moreover, as highlighted above, the framework for measuring the non-financial returns of the Bank does not include gender indicators and has insufficient focus on equality. Equality should be core to the non-financial returns of the Bank, and it is therefore important that gender equality indicators are established to sit alongside the NPF indicators to ensure that the social impact of the Bank can be appropriately measured and evaluated. This should align with the Bank’s gender equality strategy.

It is critical that the Bank gathers intersectional gender sensitive sex-disaggregated data on its investments, outcomes, programmes and services. Reporting on the impacts of the gender equality strategy, as required by the Act, will enable visibility and accountability, helping to ensure the Bank is a sector leader on gender equality, as well as providing vital data. Although gathering and using employee data, disaggregated by protected characteristic, is a legal requirement of the public sector equality duty, performance by public bodies on this is extremely patchy.\(^{22}\) The reporting mechanism relating to the gender equality strategy will therefore enable the Bank to meet its legal obligations under the public sector equality duty, and should be prioritised for early action.

As highlighted in this submission, there are a number of key considerations relating to women’s socio-economic equality that should form core aspects of the balanced scorecard approach that are not detailed in the proposal. For example, it is important that consideration is given to who benefits from job creation as a result of investment under the climate emergency grand challenge. It would be possible to give weight to applications which include measures to tackle occupational segregation in male-dominated sectors. Women’s representation and capacity to engage with the projects the Bank funds should be central considerations in the Bank’s work. Beneficiaries of investments, both immediate and end users of products and services, should be included in both the Bank’s decision-making and reporting processes.

6. Conclusion

The ongoing COVID-19 crisis has highlighted women’s continued socio-economic inequality in Scotland. The Act to create the Scottish National Investment Bank has

the potential to tackle this inequality and, in the current context, it is more important than ever that this potential be realised. The proposal document for the Bank’s missions provides early insight into the operational priorities of the Bank and Close the Gap and Engender are very concerned about the lack of focus on gender equality. As we have highlighted in this submission, it is clear that the place-based mission alone will be insufficient to promote gender equality. It is vitally important that equality considerations are mainstreamed in the Bank’s missions. However, this proposal document suggests that a mainstreaming approach has not been prioritised.

It is particularly important in the current context of Covid-19 that the Bank does not deprioritise equality and instead ensures that the development of an ambitious gender equality strategy is developed as a priority. In the context of the Bank’s work, equality must be integrated into its work on economic recovery, ensuring that recovery does not merely facilitate a return to the status quo, cementing, or indeed exacerbating, gender inequality in the process. The work to advance gender equality should not be viewed as an add-on to the core work of the Bank, but should be seen as integral to all of the Bank’s activities. Developing the gender equality strategy should be the key priority for the Bank, particularly as this approach is even more pivotal in the context of the economic and labour market impacts of Covid-19 on women.
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